

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Tzeng	Examiner:	Joo, Joshua
Serial No.:	10/780,853	Group Art Unit:	2445
Filed:	February 19, 2004	Docket No.:	0063-106001/BU3034
Title:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MULTI-CHIP ADDRESS RESOLUTION LOOKUP SYNCHRONIZATION IN A NETWORK ENVIRONMENT		

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT FOR REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The Applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the reasons for allowance provided by the Examiner do not necessarily include all of the reasons why the claims are allowed. The Applicant does not concede that the stated reasons for allowance are the only grounds for patentability of the allowed claims or that any element excluded from the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance is taught or suggested by the art of record.

Further, the Applicant does not concede that all of the elements identified by the Examiner are required to distinguish the prior art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. In addition, the Examiner does not assert, and the Applicant would not agree, that the stated reasons for allowance have any bearing on the patentability of claims in any other applications.

Each dependent claim stands on its own and is allowable based on its own elements or in combination with one or more elements recited in its base claim(s). The combination of elements need not include all of the elements identified in the stated reasons for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAKE HUGHES BELLERMANN LLP
Customer Number: 57246
Phone No: 360-930-3533

Date: December 22, 2011

By: /Paul W. Churilla, Reg. No. 47,495/
Paul W. Churilla
Reg. No. 47,495